



Environmental Research & Technology



http://dergipark.gov.tr/ert

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Treatment of dye-producing chemical industry wastewater by persulfate advanced oxidation

Deniz Izlen Cifci^{1,*}^(D), Elçin Güneş^{1,}^(D), Yalçın Güneş^{1,}^(D)

¹Tekirdağ Namık Kemal University, Environmental Engineering Department, 59860 Çorlu, Tekirdağ, TURKIYE

ABSTRACT

A dye-producing chemical industry wastewater in Çorlu (Tekirdağ) is treated by the coagulation-flocculation process of the wastewater. However, the wastewater discharged after coagulation-flocculation still has a very high COD (4402 mg L⁻¹) with very high proportion of dissolved COD (4316 mg L⁻¹). Therefore, the aim of this study is to achieve higher COD and color removal in wastewater using Fe²⁺/S₂O₈ or UV/S₂O₈ oxidation process after coagulation-flocculation. The processes in the oxidation of this industrial wastewater using Fe²⁺/S₂O₈ and UV/S₂O₈ were examined and the effect of COD/Fe²⁺/S₂O₈ ratio (in Fe²⁺/S₂O₈) or COD/S₂O₈ ratio (in UV/S₂O₈), pH and oxidation time were evaluated in the study. While high organic matter and color removal was observed in acidic conditions for both processes, optimum pH were 3 and 6 in Fe²⁺/S₂O₈ and UV/S₂O₈ oxidation processes, respectively. In Fe²⁺/S₂O₈ oxidation, 61.1% of COD removal and above 97% of color (UV₄₃₆, UV₅₂₅ and UV₆₂₀) removal was obtained at 1/8/8 of COD/Fe²⁺/S₂O₈ ratio and pH 3 after 1 h oxidation. In UV/S₂O₈ oxidation (COD/S₂O₈ ratio 1/8, pH 6), 54.4% of COD and 98% of color (UV₄₃₆, UV₅₂₅ and UV₆₂₀) removals were achieved after 4 h oxidation. As a result, both Fe²⁺/S₂O₈ and UV/S₂O₈ oxidation processes were applied to ensure discharge standards for color removal from this chemical industry wastewater are effective methods as they provide over 97% color removal. Moreover, COD removal efficiency was approximately 55-60% in both methods.

Keywords: Activation, kinetics, oxidation, persulfate, industrial wastewater treatment

1. INTRODUCTION

The chemical industry is considered a highly polluting sector. Generally, the chemical industry does not alter chemical products and processes and prefers to deal with the end of the pipe for the management of wastewater [1]. The chemical industry produces special chemicals such as adhesives, sealants, catalysts, coatings, plastic adhesives, and personal care products such as pharmaceuticals, soaps, detergents, shampoos, creams from various raw materials [1]. One of the chemical industries, the dye-producing chemical industry uses many different raw materials (aniline, soluble, etc.), auxiliary chemicals, dyes and intermediates, many of which can be toxic to the environment and have carcinogenic effects in humans [2]-[3]. Auxiliary chemicals, dyes and intermediates include many agents, phosphates, polyamide resins, acrylic coatings and the wastewaters formed have high organic matter, non-biodegradable and toxic substances [4].

Advanced oxidation technologies are suitable and effective method for the treatment of high nonbiodegradable and persistent organic pollutants in industrial wastewaters [5]. Although hydroxyl (OH--) production processes such as Fenton and UV photocatalysis oxidation processes have been used as advanced oxidation processes for many years, interest in the persulfate oxidation processes for producing the sulfate radical has increased for persistent organic pollutant removal in recent years [6]. SO₄-- has become an alternative to OH-- radical for the organic compound degradation and wastewater treatment due to the high redox potential (2.5-3.1 V) and longer lifetime (3-4.10- 5 s) [7].

Methods such as heat, UV, alkaline, metal ions and activated carbon is used to activate the persulfate to generate sulphate free radicals [8]-[9]. Many studies showed that the sulfate radical based treatment is very effective and promising results for various organic pollutants and dye treatment [9]-[10]. However, the

Corresponding Author: dicifci@nku.edu.tr (Deniz Izlen Cifci) Received 18 June 2020; Received in revised form 24 September 2020; Accepted 28 September 2020 Available Online 2 November 2020 *Doi:* https://doi.org/10.35208/ert.771190

© Yildiz Technical University, Environmental Engineering Department. All rights reserved.

studies generally focused on leachate treatment, and it was stated that UV/S₂O₈, Fe/S₂O₈ oxidation processes were effective for the treatment of landfill leachate [11]-[16]. In addition, studies show that the UV/S₂O₈ or Fe/S_2O_8 oxidation processes can be used in the treatment of petrochemical wastewater that 66-69% of COD removal could be achieved up to 120 min oxidation [17]-[19]. Treatment of dye-producing chemical industry wastewater by UV/S2O8 or Fe/S2O8 persulfate oxidation has not been studied yet. Studies on the comparison of iron and UV activation methods for persulphate oxidation are insufficient and it could not be determined which method was more effective for organic matter removal. In this study, the chemically treated wastewater of a chemical industry, which produces dyes for textile, paper, plastic (masterbatch) and metal industries was trying to be treated by UV/S₂O₈ and Fe/S₂O₈ persulfate oxidation method. The wastewater of this industry is quite complex and much polluted in terms of organic matter and color so to treat it very hard. The aim of this study is to compare iron and UV activation methods for persulfate oxidation process in terms of COD and color removal efficiencies. For this purpose, the optimum pH, oxidation time and persulfate doses in both methods were determined and the kinetic evaluations of the processes were also made.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Wastewater characterization

Wastewater was taken from dye-producing chemical industry. In this industry, dispersed dyes, reactive dyes, acrylic dyes, acid dyes, digital inks, digital auxiliaries, pigments and chemical groups of liquid, powder and dispersion products used in the textile industry are produced. Hybrid electrostatic powder paint for metal industry, and paper auxiliaries, brown paint, optical brightener and performance chemicals for the paper industry are also produced. The wastewater in this chemical industry is chemically treated using FeCl₃ as a coagulant and then transferred to the central wastewater treatment plant of the industrial zone in Çerkezköy, Tekirdağ. The wastewater used in the study was taken after the coagulation-flocculation process. The characterization of the wastewater is given in Table 1. As seen from Table 1, although the wastewater is the chemically treated, the COD concentration is quite high, but the majority of the COD is in soluble form. In addition, the low TSS concentration in the wastewater shows that the particulate matter in the wastewater is low due to chemical treatment as expected. The color (UV₄₃₆-UV₅₂₅-UV₆₂₀) values in the wastewater are quite high and the wastewater has a brown-red color.

Parameter	Unit	Concentration	
рН	-	7.84	
EC	mS cm ⁻¹	6.84	
TSS	mg L ⁻¹	87±2.0	
VSS	mg L ⁻¹	42±2.6	
NH ₃ -N	mg L ⁻¹	12.1±1.6	
TKN	mg L ⁻¹	37.3±1.6	
Total COD	mg L ⁻¹	4402±135	
Soluble COD	mg L ⁻¹	4316±41	
UV ₂₅₄	abs.	13.2±0.53	
UV ₂₈₀	abs.	10.4±0.34	
UV ₄₃₆	abs.	4.79±0.33	
UV ₅₂₅	abs.	0.91±0.03	
UV ₆₂₀	abs.	0.65±0.02	

Table 1. The characterization of the wastewater

2.2. Fe^{2+}/S_2O_8 oxidation process

Jar test method was used for the treatment of wastewater with Fe^{2+}/S_2O_8 oxidation process. 200 mL wastewater, required amount of FeSO₄.7H₂O (Sigma-Aldrich, 215422) and K₂S₂O₈ (Merck, 1.05091) were added to the 600 mL beaker. pH was adjusted to the desired value and wastewater were stirred for 60 min at 60 rpm. Then pH was adjusted to about 7.5 with 6 N NaOH to precipitate excess iron and settled for 1 h. After 1 h of precipitation, sample was taken from the supernatant and centrifuged for 5 min at 4000 rpm for the analysis. COD/Fe²⁺/S₂O₈ (as g/g/g) ratio was used

to evaluate the effect of the Fe^{2+} and S_2O_8 concentration. Also, effect of pH (2-7) and oxidation time (0.5-4 h) were investigated in the experiments.

2.3. UV/S₂O₈ Oxidation Process

 UV/S_2O_8 oxidation experiments were conducted by using 500 mL graduated cylinder (active volume 300 mL). Required amount of $K_2S_2O_8$ were added to the wastewater and the pH was adjusted to the desired value. 12 watts of mercury vapor lamp (model Hg F15-05, Eurotech) at 254 nm was positioned in the center of the cylinder for the UV-C irradiation [20]. Wastewater was stirred with magnetic stirrer at about 60 rpm during the UV irradiation. The samples were taken at certain times and centrifuged for 5 min at 4000 rpm before the analysis. The effect of the COD/S_2O_8 (as g/g) ratio, pH and oxidation time were evaluated the oxidation studies.

2.4. Analysis

The pH was measured using a pH meter (WTW pH 315i). Color (UV436-UV525-UV620) and humic substance (UV254-UV280) of the wastewater were determined using a UV spectrophotometer (Shimadzu UV-2401 PC instrument). UV254 is used for aromatic and unsaturated organic compounds and UV₂₈₀ is represented aromaticity [21]. Total COD, soluble COD, total suspended solids (TSS), volatile suspended solids (VSS), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN) and ammonia nitrogen (NH₃-N) was analyzed based on the Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater [22]. The chemical oxygen demand (COD) was determined using a closed reflux colorimetric method. S₂O₈ concentration was measured according to Liang et al. [23]. The removal efficiencies of COD, UV254, UV280 or color were obtained using the following Eq. 1.

Removal Efficiency(%) =
$$\frac{C_0 - C_t}{C_0}$$
 (1)

where C_0 is the initial COD (mg L⁻¹), UV₂₅₄, UV₂₈₀ or color (m⁻¹) concentration and C_t refer to the COD (mg L⁻¹), UV₂₅₄, UV₂₈₀ or color (m⁻¹) concentration at time t or at the end of the treatment, respectively.

The pseudo first order kinetics of COD, UV_{254} , UV_{280} , UV_{436} , UV_{525} or UV_{620} is calculated according to Eq. 2 [23];

$$\ln \frac{c_t}{c_0} = -k_1 \cdot t \tag{2}$$

where C_0 is the initial pollutant as COD (mg L⁻¹), UV₂₅₄, UV₂₈₀, UV₄₃₆, UV₅₂₅ or UV₆₂₀ (m⁻¹) concentration and C_t refer to the pollutant as COD (mg L⁻¹), UV₂₅₄, UV₂₈₀, UV₄₃₆, UV₅₂₅ or UV₆₂₀ (m⁻¹) concentration at time t, respectively. k_1 is the pseudo first order kinetic constant rate as (h⁻¹).

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Fe^{2+}/S_2O_8 oxidation process

Effect of Fe^{2+} concentration for Fe^{2+}/S_2O_8 oxidation process

Fe⁺²/S₂O₈ ratio is an important parameter for the persulfate oxidation. When the S₂O₈ activated with Fe²⁺ ion, sulfate radical (SO₄-) was generated in the system and then oxidation occurs by reacting organic matter with sulfate radicals. The reactions were given in Eq. 3 and Eq. 4 [14].

$$S_2O_8 + Fe^{2+} \rightarrow SO_4^{--} + Fe^{3+} + SO_4^{2-}$$
 (3)

 SO_4^{-} + organic matter \rightarrow

intermediates(like humic substance)
$$\rightarrow CO_2 + H_2O$$
 (4)

However, in the case of excessive amounts of Fe²⁺, a scavenging effect of sulfate radicals occurs due to the

reaction between Fe^2 ⁺ and SO_4 ⁻ and oxidation efficiency decreases (Eq. 5) [24]-[25].

$$Fe^{2+} + SO_4^{--} \rightarrow Fe^{3+} + SO_4^{2-}$$
 (5)

The effect of Fe²⁺ ions on COD and color removal efficiencies were investigated by keeping COD/S2O8 ratio (1/5) constant of the COD/S₂O₈/Fe²⁺ ratio. It was observed that 25.4% COD removal was achieved at the lowest Fe^{2+} concentration (at COD/S₂O₈/Fe²⁺:1/5/1) and COD removal efficiency increased up to 57.2% (at $COD/S_2O_8/Fe^{2+}:1/5/8$) as the Fe^{2+} concentration increased (Fig. 1). A slight decrease of COD removal (56.5%) showed in 1/5/9 ratio due to the scavenger effect of excessive Fe²⁺ on the sulfate radical. When the $COD/S_2O_8/Fe^{2\scriptscriptstyle +}$ ratio is between 1/5/1 and 1/5/4, UV254 removal is close to each other, while UV280 removal is lower than UV254 removal. This suggests that COD/S₂O₈/Fe²⁺≤1/5/4 is insufficient and further oxidation is needed to break down organic matter. After the COD/S₂O₈/Fe²⁺>1/5/4, UV₂₈₀ removal started to increase and in parallel UV254 removal also enhanced.

When the examine of the consumed S_2O_8 , observation of the residue S_2O_8 in treated wastewater up to 1/5/4ratios indicated that Fe^{2+} concentration was insufficient to generate the sulfate radicals. 100% of S_2O_8 has been converted to the sulfate radicals between 1/5/5 and 1/5/9 ratios. UV₂₅₄ and UV₂₈₀ represent the aromatic organic compounds in water and are used as a humic substance concentration index in the literature [26]-[27]. UV₂₈₀ removal enhanced with increasing the Fe^{2+} while the removal of UV₂₅₄ showed no significant change between 1/5/8 and 1/5/9. Meanwhile, more than 90% color (UV₄₃₆-UV₅₂₅-UV₆₂₀) removal was observed above 1/5/5 ratio.



Fig 1. Effect of Fe²⁺ concentration on the COD, UV_{254} , UV_{280} and color (UV_{436} - UV_{525} - UV_{620}) removal (pH: 2, oxidation time: 60 min)

Effect of S_2O_8 concentration on Fe^{2+}/S_2O_8 oxidation process

 S_2O_8 concentration is important in the sulfate oxidation process for wastewater treatment since sulfate radicals are generated by the S_2O_8 . However, when the excessive amount of S_2O_8 is added into the system, the sulfate radicals formed can react with each other by the effect of collision and reformed to S_2O_8 [25].

When the amount of $COD/S_2O_8/Fe^{2+}$ ratio increased from 1/4/8 to 1/8/8, COD removal efficiency increased from 54.9% to 61.1%, UV₂₅₄ removal efficiency increased from 67.6% to 72.8% and UV₂₈₀ removal efficiency enhanced from 77.7% to 82.8% (Fig 2).



Fig 2. Effect of S_2O_8 concentration on the COD, UV_{254} , UV_{280} and color (UV_{436} - UV_{525} - UV_{620}) removal (pH: 2, oxidation time: 60 min)

The most appropriate COD/S₂O₈/Fe²⁺ ratio was 1/8/8 due to the fact that no significant change in COD, UV₂₅₄ and UV₂₈₀ removal was observed in the applications after 1/8/8, and the residual S_2O_8 in the treated wastewater increased after the 1/8/8 ratio. In addition, color (UV $_{\rm 436}\text{-}UV_{\rm 525}\text{-}UV_{\rm 620}$) removal were higher than 95% between 1/4/8 and 1/10/8 of COD/S₂O₈/Fe²⁺ ratios while UV₄₃₆, UV₅₂₅ and UV₆₂₀ removals in 1/8/8 ratio were 98.5%, 99.4% and 98.8%, respectively. This result was consistent with the literature that when the stabilized leachate was treated using Fe^{2+}/S_2O_8 oxidation process, the highest COD removal was observed at Fe2+/S2O8 molar ratio 1:1 [12]. In the treatment of leachate using $COD/S_2O_8/Fe^{2+}$ oxidation process, the best COD removal was obtained as 76.2% at 90 mM Fe2+ with COD/S₂O₈ 1/6.7 after 120 min oxidation [11].

Effect of pH for Fe²⁺/S₂O₈ oxidation process

One of the critical factors in the treatment of wastewater by Fe^{2+}/S_2O_8 oxidation process is the solution pH due to the control of free sulfate radical and Fe^{2+} ions [28]. As can be seen from Fig. 3, the removal efficiencies of COD, UV₂₅₄ and UV₂₈₀ decreased as the pH of the wastewater increased. The decrease of the removal efficiencies between pH 2 and 4 was very neglgible, but when the pH was increased from 4 to 7, the COD, UV₂₅₄ and UV₂₈₀ removal efficiency decreased from 57.7% to 44.0%, from 70.0% to 53.0% and from 78.9% to 68.5%, respectively. This finding is compatible with the literature.



Fig 3. Effect of pH on the COD, $UV_{254},\,UV_{280}$ and color ($UV_{436}-UV_{525}-UV_{620}$) removal (COD/ Fe^{2*}/S_2O_8 ratio 1/8/8, oxidation time: 60 min)

Asha et al. [12] achieved the highest COD removal for stabilized leachate treatment with Fe/S_2O_8 was in the range of pH 3-4 and stated that COD removal decreased when the pH was above 4 [12]. Likewise, the highest treatment efficiency of leachate with the Fe^{2+}/S_2O_8

process was obtained at pH 3, and the COD removal decreased as the pH increased [11]. In other study, maximum COD removal efficiency was found as 69% at pH 3 under Fe²⁺/S₂O₈ ratio of 6 for the treatment saline recalcitrant petrochemical wastewater [18]. Because when the pH is above 4, iron deactivation occurs which leads to the formation of iron hydroxide complexes having the high stability and low catalytic activity [14], [28]-[29]. Another reason for increasing removal efficiencies at pH \leq 4 is that H₂O₂ and Fe²⁺ may form extra OH radicals by Fenton reaction [30]. While the pH change did not have a significant effect on color (UV₄₃₆-UV₅₂₅-UV₆₂₀) removal, it can be seen that a

Effect of oxidation time on Fe^{2+}/S_2O_8 oxidation process

slight color removal decreased with increasing pH.

The effect of oxidation time on Fe^{2+}/S_2O_8 oxidation process is shown in Fig 4. COD, UV₂₅₄ and UV₂₈₀ as well as color removal tended to increase rapidly up to 1 h reaction. After 1 h of oxidation, no significant change in color removal was observed. Similar to color removal, COD, UV₂₅₄ and UV₂₈₀ removal increased rapidly during the first hour oxidation period and no significant change was observed after 1 h of oxidation time.



Fig 4. Effect of oxidation time on the COD, UV_{254} , UV_{280} and color (UV_{436} - UV_{525} - UV_{620}) removal (COD/ Fe²⁺/S₂O₈ ratio 1/8/8, pH:2, oxidation time: 60 min)

At the end of 1 h of oxidation, COD, UV254 and UV280 removal efficiencies were obtained as 61.1%, 72.8% and 82.8%, which increased to 64.1%, 75.0% and 82.5% after 4 h oxidation, respectively. UV₄₃₆, UV₅₂₅ and UV₆₂₀ removal efficiencies of 1/8/8 ratio were obtained as 47.7%, 40.9% and 51.1% after 0.5 h oxidation time and 98.4%, 97.1% and 98.4% removal efficiencies were obtained after 1 h oxidation, respectively. In a study for treating petroleum refinery wastewater using Fe^{2+}/S_2O_8 oxidation process, 66.6% of COD removal was observed at 302.9 mg L-1 of K₂S₂O₃, 20.3 mg L-1 FeSO₄.7H₂O and 4.8 of pH after 1 h oxidation [17]. Rahmat and Ahmadi [18] reported that maximum COD removal was achieved after 30 min oxidation time and COD concentration remained same up to 120 min oxidation time for the treatment of saline recalcitrant petrochemical wastewater using Fe²⁺/S₂O₈ oxidation process [18].

3.2. UV/S₂O₈ oxidation process

Effect of COD/S_2O_8 ratio for UV/S_2O_8 oxidation process

To determine the effect of COD/S₂O₈ ratio on the COD, UV₂₅₄ and UV₂₈₀ removal efficiencies at initial pH 6, three different COD/S₂O₈ ratios (1/4, 1/6 and 1/8) were used (Fig 5). When the COD/S₂O₈ ratio was increased from 1/4 to 1/8 after 4 hours of oxidation, COD, UV254 and UV280 removal efficiencies increased from 17.4%, 17.1% and 31.3% to 54.4%, 54.3% and 66.5%, respectively. The increase in pollutant removal by UV/S₂O₈ is related to the formation of free sulphate radicals after activation of persulfate by UV irradiation. (Eq. 7) [15], [31].

$$S_2 O_8^{2-} + hv \to 2SO_4^{-}$$
 (7)



Fig 5. Effect of COD/S $_2O_8$ ratio (a) on the COD removal (pH:6); (b) on the UV $_{254}$ and UV $_{280}$ removal (pH:6)

UV₄₃₆, UV₅₂₅ and UV₆₂₀ removal efficiencies were increased after 4 hours oxidation (Fig 6). The UV₄₃₆, UV₅₂₅ and UV₆₂₀ removals were obtained over 95% after 2.5 h oxidation. The UV₄₃₆, UV₅₂₅ and UV₆₂₀ removal efficiencies were 97.5%, 97.2% and 98.2% at 1/8 of COD/S₂O₈ ratio after 2.5 h oxidation, respectively. At the end of 4 h oxidation, the UV₄₃₆, UV₅₂₅ and UV₆₂₀ removals were reached 92.9%, 85.2% and 86.3% at 1/6 of COD/S₂O₈ ratio, while they were remained 82.1%, 66.5% and 68.5% at 1/4 of COD/S₂O₈ ratio.



Fig 6. Effect of COD/S $_2O_8$ ratio on the color (UV $_{436}\text{-}$ UV $_{525}\text{-}$ UV $_{620}$) removal (pH:6)

Effect of pH for UV/S2O8 oxidation process

The effect of the initial pH on the removal of organic matter and color was investigated using the initial pH of 3, 6 and 10. The removal efficiencies of COD, UV_{254} and UV_{280} were increased by increasing the initial pH from 3 to 6 (Fig 7). However, when the initial pH increased to 10, the COD, UV_{254} and UV_{280} removal efficiencies decreased after 4 h of oxidation, they were 31.8%, 30.7% and 55.5% respectively.

Maximum COD, UV254 and UV280 removal efficiencies were obtained at pH 6. This finding is consistent with the literature that the highest pollutant removal with persulfate oxidation has been achieved at near neutral pH levels [32]-[34]. The highest COD removal was obtained at pH 6 and 8.2 values in the treatment of pulp and paper wastewater by UV/S₂O₈ oxidation [34]. In some studies related to oxidation of organic substances (1Hbenzotriazole, N,N-diethyl-m-toluamide, chlorophene, 3methylindole, and nortriptyline hydrochloride, trichloroethylene) with sulfate radicals, the activation energy of the reactions was found to be the lowest at pH 7 and therefore the removal efficiencies were reported to be higher at this pH [32], [35]. In this study, it is thought that a similar result was obtained because chemical industry wastewaters which contain many different organic materials were used. In the range of pH 7-10.5, SO₄•• and OH•- radicals are present in solution and the OH•- radical is predominant, which may reduce the removal efficiency at basic conditions [33], [36]. Although the removal of UV₂₅₄ and UV₂₈₀ at pH 3 and 10 were close to each other, COD removal was higher at pH 10 than pH 3.

No significant change in color removal was observed at pH 6 and 10. The UV₄₃₆, UV₅₂₅ and UV₆₂₀ absorbances removal efficiencies were high at both pH 10 and pH 6, and over 90% removal of UV₄₃₆, UV₅₂₅ and UV₆₂₀ was achieved at pH 6 after 2 h of oxidation (Fig 8). UV₄₃₆ decolorization at pH 3 was close to that of pH 6 and 10; however UV₅₂₅ and UV₆₂₀ absorbances removal at pH 3 remained considerably lower than pH 6 and 10. Compared COD, UV₂₅₄, UV₂₈₀ removal with color removal at pH 3 remain low according to pH 6 and 10.



Fig 7. Effect of pH (a) on the COD removal (COD/S₂O₈ ratio 1/8); (b) on the UV₂₅₄ and UV₂₈₀ removal (pH:6) (COD/S₂O₈ ratio 1/8)



Fig 8. Effect of pH on the color (UV436-UV525-UV620) removal (COD/S2O8 ratio 1/8)

3.3. Kinetic evaluation of Fe²⁺/S₂O₈ and UV/S₂O₈ oxidation process

Fe²⁺/S₂O₈ and UV/S₂O₈ oxidation of dye-producing chemical industrial wastewater is fitted better pseudo first order kinetic model for COD, UV₂₅₄, UV₂₈₀, UV₄₃₆, UV₅₂₅ and UV₆₂₀ removals. The pseudo first order rate constants (k₁) for Fe²⁺/S₂O₈ oxidation process were 0.9074 h⁻¹, 1.2689 h⁻¹, 1.6557 h⁻¹, 3.5816 h⁻¹, 3.0519 h⁻¹ and 3.5731 h⁻¹ for COD, UV₂₅₄, UV₂₈₀, UV₄₃₆, UV₅₂₅ and UV₆₂₀ removal at 1/8/8 COD/S₂O₈/Fe²⁺ ratio and pH 2, respectively (Table 2). The pseudo first order rate constants (k₁) for COD, UV₂₅₄, UV₂₈₀, UV₄₃₆, UV₅₂₅ and UV₆₂₀ removal were 0.2071 h⁻¹, 0.1731 h⁻¹, 0.2816 h⁻¹, 1.4335 h⁻¹, 1.3059 h⁻¹ and 1.4727 h⁻¹ at 1/8 ratio of UV/S₂O₈ and pH 6, respectively.

In a study by Pourehie and Saien [17], k_1 value of COD was calculated 0.0218 min⁻¹ under the optimum conditions as 302.9 mg/L K₂S₂O₃, 20.3 mg/L FeSO₄.7H₂O and 4.8 pH for treating petroleum refinery wastewater using UV/Fe²⁺/S₂O₈ oxidation process [17]. In addition, according to a study on the treatment of petrochemical wastewater by UV/S₂O₈ and UV/S₂O₈/Fe²⁺ oxidation processes, k_1 value for COD removal was calculated as 0.018 min⁻¹ and 0.0188 min⁻¹, respectively [19].

The oxidation rate in UV/S₂O₈ oxidation process was lower than Fe²⁺/S₂O₈ oxidation process. For COD, UV₂₅₄ and UV₂₈₀ using Fe²⁺/S₂O₈ treatment, k₁ values were 4.38, 7.33 and 5.88 times higher than UV/S₂O₈ treatment. In addition, the color (UV₄₃₆, UV₅₂₅ and UV₆₂₀) removal rates were found to be about 2.3-2.5 times higher in Fe²⁺/S₂O₈ process. Above 90% of color (UV₄₃₆, UV₅₂₅ and UV₆₂₀) removal efficiency could be achieved by Fe²⁺/S₂O₈ oxidation process in 1 h, while UV/S₂O₈ oxidation process was obtained in 2 h.

Table 2. Pseudo first order kinetic constants of Fe²⁺/S₂O₈ and UV/S₂O₈ oxidation

Parameter	Fe ²⁺ /S ₂ O ₈ oxidation process (COD/S ₂ O ₈ /Fe ²⁺ ratio 1/8/8)		UV/S2O8 oxidation process (COD/S2O8 ratio 1/8)	
	k₁ (h [.] 1)	R ²	k₁ (h⁻¹)	R ²
COD	0.9074	0.9857	0.2071	0.9886
UV ₂₅₄	1.2689	0.9937	0.1731	0.9372
UV ₂₈₀	1.6557	0.9645	0.2816	0.9793
UV ₄₃₆	3.5816	0.8366	1.4335	0.9924
UV525	3.0519	0.8301	1.3059	0.9818
UV ₆₂₀	3.5731	0.8510	1.4727	0.9841

Cifci et al.

4. CONCLUSIONS

The treatment of dye-producing chemical industrial wastewater using Fe²⁺/S₂O₈ or UV/S₂O₈ oxidation process was investigated in this study. In Fe²⁺/S₂O₈ oxidation process, optimum COD/Fe²⁺/S₂O₈ ratio, pH and oxidation time were found to be 1/8/8, 3 and 1 h. In these conditions, COD, UV254, UV280 UV436, UV525 and UV₆₂₀ removal efficiencies in this chemical industrial wastewater were obtained as 61.1%, 72.8%, 82.8%, $98.4\%,\ 97.1\%$ and $98.4\%,\ respectively. In <math display="inline">UV/S_2O_8$ oxidation process, COD, UV254, UV280 UV436, UV525 and UV₆₂₀ removal efficiencies were 54.4%, 54.3%, 66.5%, 98.9%, 98.2% and 98.3% at 1/8 of COD/S₂O₈ ratio and pH 6 after 4 h oxidation time, respectively. While more than 97% color removal can be achieved in both Fe²⁺/S₂O₈ and UV/S₂O₈ oxidation processes, it was seen that organic matter removal was lower in UV/S₂O₈ oxidation process when COD, UV₂₅₄ and UV₂₈₀ parameters are examined. In the Fe²⁺/S₂O₈ oxidation process, high COD and color removal efficiencies were achieved compared to UV/S2O8 oxidation process and also the oxidation time was shorter (the oxidation rate was higher). The results showed that both Fe²⁺/S₂O₈ and UV/S₂O₈ oxidation processes were effective and suitable methods for removal of especially color parameter in this chemically treated dye-producing chemical industry wastewater. Although the organic matter removal (as COD, UV₂₅₄) was higher in the Fe^{2+}/S_2O_8 oxidation process, the amount of Fe^{2+} used in this process and the amount of sludge formed should also be taken into account for economic evaluation.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors would like to thank Yasemin Kayhan for her assistance in providing wastewater.

REFERENCES

- [1]. V. García, E. Pongrácz and R. Keiski, "Waste minimization in the chemical industry: From theory to practice", *Proceedings of the Waste Minimization and Resources Use Optimization Conference*, pp. 93-106, 2004.
- [2]. M. Kornaros and G. Lyberatos, "Biological treatment of wastewaters from a dye manufacturing company using a trickling filter", *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, Vol. 136, pp. 95-102, 2006.
- [3]. H.-Y. Shu and W.-P. Hsieh, "Treatment of dye manufacturing plant effluent using an annular UV/H2O2 reactor with multi-UV lamps", *Separation and Purification Technology*, Vol. 51, pp. 379-386, 2006.
- [4]. F. Sun, B. Sun, J. Hu, Y. He and W. Wu, "Organics and nitrogen removal from textile auxiliaries wastewater with A2O-MBR in a pilot-scale", *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, Vol. 286, pp. 416-424, 2015.
- [5]. E. Kattel, N. Dulova, M. Viisimaa, T. Tenno and M. Trapido, "Treatment of high-strength wastewater by Fe2+-activated persulphate and

hydrogen peroxide", *Environmental Technology*, Vol. 37 (3), pp. 352-359, 2016.

- [6]. I.A. Ike, K.G. Linden, J.D. and M. Orbell Duke, "Critical review of the science and sustainability of persulphate advanced oxidation processes", *Chemical Engineering Journal*, Vol. 338, pp. 651-669, 2018.
- [7]. P. Devi, U. Das and A.K. Dalai, "In-situ chemical oxidation: Principle and applications of peroxide and persulfate treatments in wastewater systems", *Science of the Total Environment*, Vol. 571, pp. 643-657, 2016.
- [8]. R. Guo, Q. Meng, H. Zhang, X. Zhang, B. Li, Q. Cheng and X. Cheng, "Construction of Fe2O3/Co3O4/exfoliated graphite composite and its high efficient treatment of landfill leachate by activation of potassium persulfate", *Chemical Engineering Journal*, Vol. 355, pp. 952-962, 2019.
- [9]. L.W. Matzek and K.E. Carter, "Activated persulfate for organic chemical degradation: A review", *Chemosphere*, Vol. 151, pp. 178-188, 2016.
- [10]. S. Wacławek, H.V. Lutze, K. Grübel, V.V.T. Padil, M. Černík and D.D. Dionysiou, "Chemistry of persulfates in water and wastewater treatment: A review", *Chemical Engineering Journal*, Vol. 330, pp. 44-62, 2017.
- [11]. S. Yazici Guvenc, "Optimization of COD removal from leachate nanofiltration concentrate using H2O2/Fe+2/heat - Activated persulfate oxidation processes", *Process Safety and Environmental Protection*, Vol. 126, pp. 7-17, 2019.
- [12]. T.T. Asha, R. Gandhimathi, S.T. Ramesh and P.V. Nidheesh, "Treatment of stabilized leachate by ferrous-activated persulfate oxidative system", *Journal of Hazardous, Toxic, and Radioactive Waste*, Vol. 21 (2), pp. 1-6, 2017.
- [13]. E. Kattel and N. Dulova, "Ferrous ion-activated persulphate process for landfill leachate treatment: removal of organic load, phenolic micropollutants and nitrogen", *Environmental Technology*, Vol. 38 (10), pp. 1223-1231, 2017.
- [14]. Z. Liu, X. Li, Z. Rao and F. Hu, "Treatment of landfill leachate biochemical effluent using the nano-Fe304/Na2S208 system: Oxidation performance, wastewater spectral analysis, and activator characterization", *Journal of Environmental Management*, Vol. 208, pp. 159-168, 2018.
- [15]. A.R. Ishak, F.S. Hamid, S. Mohamad and K.S. Tay, "Stabilized landfill leachate treatment by coagulation-flocculation coupled with UV-based sulfate radical oxidation process", *Waste Management*, Vol. 76, pp. 575-581, 2018.
- [16]. C. Chen, H. Feng and Y. Deng, "Re-evaluation of sulfate radical based advanced oxidation processes (SR-AOPs) for treatment of raw municipal landfill leachate", *Water Research*, Vol. 153, pp. 100-107, 2019.
- [17]. O. Pourehie and J. Saien, "Treatment of real petroleum refinery wastewater with alternative ferrous-assisted UV/persulfate homogeneous processes", *Desalination and Water Treatment*, Vol. 142, pp. 140-147, 2019.

- [18]. Z.G. Rahmat and M. Ahmadi, "Activation of persulfate by Fe2+ for saline recalcitrant petrochemical wastewater treatment: Intermediates identification and kinetic study", *Desalination and Water Treatment*, Vol. 166, pp. 35-43, 2019.
- [19]. A.A. Babaei and F. Ghanbari, "COD removal from petrochemical wastewater by UV/hydrogen peroxide, UV/persulfate and UV/percarbonate: biodegradability improvement and cost evaluation", *Journal of Water Reuse and Desalination*, Vol. 6 (4), pp. 484-494, 2016.
- [20]. E. Güneş, E. Demir, Y. Güneş and A. Hanedar, "Characterization and treatment alternatives of industrial container and drum cleaning wastewater: Comparison of Fenton-like process and combined coagulation/oxidation processes", *Separation and Purification Technology*, Vol. 209, pp. 426-433, 2019.
- [21]. I. Arslan-Alaton and I. Akmehmet Balcioglu, "Biodegradability assessment of ozonated raw and biotreated pharmaceutical wastewater", *Archives of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology*, Vol. 43 (4), pp. 425-431, 2002.
- [22]. Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater 21th edn, American Public Health Association/American Water Works Association/Water Environment Federation, Washington DC, USA, 2005.
- [23]. C. Liang, C.-F. Huang, N. Mohanty and R.M. Kurakalva, "A rapid spectrophotometric determination of persulfate anion in ISCO", *Chemosphere*, Vol. 73, pp. 1540-1543, 2008.
- [24]. H. Zhang, Z. Wang, C. Liu, Y. Guo, N. Shan, C. Meng and L. Sun, "Removal of COD from landfill leachate by an electro/Fe2+/peroxydisulfate process", *Chemical Engineering Journal*, Vol. 250, pp. 76-82, 2014.
- [25]. S. Zhu, Z. Zhou, H. Jiang, J. Ye, J. Ren, L. Gu and L. Wang, "Advanced treatment of effluents from an industrial park wastewater treatment plant by ferrous ion activated persulfate oxidation process", *Water Science & Technology*, Vol. 74 (2), pp. 535-541, 2016.
- [26]. C.S. Uyguner and M. Bekbolet, "Evaluation of humic acid photocatalytic degradation by UV-vis and fluorescence spectroscopy", *Catalysis Today*, Vol. 101 (3-4), pp. 267-274, 2005.
- [27]. D. Kulikowska, T. Jóźwiak, M. Kuczajowska-Zadrożna, T. Pokój and Z. Gusiatin, "Efficiency of nitrification and organics removal from municipal landfill leachate in the rotating biological contactor (RBC)", *Desalination and Water Treatment*, Vol. 33 (1-3), pp. 125-131, 2011.

- [28]. G. Barzegar, S. Jorfi, V. Zarezade, M. Khatebasreh, F. Mehdipour and F. Ghanbari, 4 "Chlorophenol degradation using ultrasound/peroxymonosulfate/nanoscale zero valent iron: Reusability, identification of degradation intermediates and potential application for real wastewater", *Chemosphere*, Vol. 201, pp. 370-379, 2018.
- [29]. F. Ghanbari, M. Moradi and M. Manshouri, "Textile wastewater decolorization by zero valent iron activated peroxymonosulfate: Compared with zero valent copper", *Journal of Environmental Chemical Engineering*, Vol. 2 (3), pp. 1846-1851, 2014.
- [30]. J.M. Monteagudo, A. Duran, R. Gonzalez and A.J. Exposito, "In situ chemical oxidation of carbamazepine solutions using persulfate simultaneously activated by heat energy, UV light, Fe2+ ions, and H2O2", Applied Catalysis B: Environmental, Vol. 176-177, pp. 120-129, 2015.
- [31]. M. Hassan, X. Wang, F. Wang, D. Wu, A. Hussain and B. Xie, "Coupling ARB-based biological and photochemical (UV/TiO2 and UV/S2O8) techniques to deal with sanitary landfill leachate", *Waste Management*, Vol. 63, pp. 292-298, 2017.
- [32]. J.L. Acero, F.J. Benítez, F.J. Real and E. Rodríguez, "Degradation of selected emerging contaminants by UV-activated persulfate: Kinetics and influence of matrix constituents", *Seperation and Purification Technology*, Vol. 201, pp. 41-50, 2018.
- [33]. S. Dhaka, R. Kumar, M.A. Khan, K.-J. Paeng, M.B. Kurade, S.-J. Kim and B.-H. Jeon, "Aqueous phase degradation of methyl paraben using UVactivated persulfate method", *Chemical Engineering Journal*, Vol. 321, pp. 11-19, 2017.
- [34]. N. Jaafarzadeh, M. Omidinasab and F. Ghanbari, "Combined electro-coagulation and UV-based sulfate radical oxidation processes for treatment of pulp and paper wastewater", *Process Safety* and Environmental Protection, Vol. 102, pp. 462-472, 2016.
- [35]. C. Liang, Z.-S. Wang and C.J. Bruell, "Influence of pH on persulfate oxidation of TCE at ambient temperatures", *Chemosphere*, Vol. 66, pp. 106-113, 2007.
- [36]. Z. Wang, Y. Shao, N. Gao and N. An, "Degradation kinetic of dibutyl phthalate (DBP) by sulfate radical- and hydroxyl radical-based advanced oxidation process in UV/persulfate system", *Separation and Purification Technology*, Vol. 195, pp. 92-100, 2018.